arXiv:math/0508458v1 [math.AG] 24 Aug 2005

PRINCIPAL POLARIZATIONS ON PRODUCTS OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

HERBERT LANGE

Dedicated to Sevin Recillas

Abstract. An abelian variety admits only a finite number of isomorphism classes of principal polarizations. The paper gives an interpretation of this number in terms of class numbers of definite Hermitian forms in the case of a product of elliptic curves without complex multiplication. In the case of a self-product of an elliptic curve, as well as in the two-dimensional case, classical class number computations can be applied to determine this number.

1. Introduction

Let X be an abelian variety of dimension g over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. The *Néron-Severi group* of X is by definition the quotient of the group of line bundles on X modulo the subgroup of line bundle which are algebraically equivalent to zero,

$$\mathrm{NS}(X) = \mathrm{Pic}(X)/\mathrm{Pic}^0(X).$$

A *polarization* of X is by definition an element $l \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$ which is represented by an ample line bundle L on X. By a slight abuse of notation we denote the polarization defined by L often by L itself. The pair (X, L) is called a *polarized abelian variety*. Every polarization L of X induces an isogeny $\varphi_L : X \to \hat{X}$ of X onto its dual abelian variety $\hat{X} = \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$, defined by

$$\varphi_L(x) = t_x^* L \otimes L^{-1},$$

where $t_x : X \to X$ denotes the translation by x. A polarization on X is called *principal*, if φ_L is an isomorphism.

Two polarizations L_1 and L_2 on X are said to be *equivalent* if there is an automorphism $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut(X)}$ such that

$$\tau^* L_2 \sim L_1,$$

where $\sim$ denotes algebraic equivalence. Consider the set

$$P(X) = \{\textit{equivalence classes of principal polarizations on } X\}.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14K05, Secondary: 11G10, 11E39.

Key words and phrases. Polarization, products of elliptic curves.

Supported by DFG Contract Ba 423/8-1.

According to a theorem of Narasimhan-Nori [3], $P(X)$ is a finite set. It is the aim of this note to compute the number $\#P(X)$ in the case of a product of elliptic curves without complex multiplication,

$$X = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n.$$

According to Lemma 2.2 we may assume that the E_i are pairwise isogenous. The main result is Theorem 3.5, which says that in this case there is a bijection between $P(X)$ and the set of equivalence classes of Hermitian forms of a certain type.

We can then apply results on class numbers of Hermitian or quadratic forms in order to compute the cardinality of the set $P(X)$ in certain cases. If for example E is an elliptic curve without complex multiplication and

$$X = E \times \cdots \times E,$$

then

$$\#P(X) = h(n)$$

(see Theorem 4.1), where $h(n)$ denotes the number of equivalence classes of positive definite integral quadratic forms of rank $n = \dim X$. For $n \leq 25$, the class number $h(n)$ is known. We deduce that for $n \leq 7$ the abelian variety X admits no principal polarization apart from the canonical one. For $n = 8$, there is another principal polarization and we have for example $\#P(X) = 8$ for $n = 16$ and $\#P(X) = 297$ for $n = 24$. Moreover, it is a consequence of the mass formula of Minkowski-Siegel that the number $\#P(X)$ is unbounded for $n \to \infty$.

For another application of Theorem 3.5 consider

$$X = E_1 \times E_2$$

with isogenous elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 without complex multiplication admitting an isogeny of minimal positive degree d. Here there is a bijection between $P(X)$ and the set of equivalence classes of primitive positive definite integral quadratic forms of rank 2 and determinant d. The corresponding class number $\widetilde{h}(d)$ has been computed by Hayashida in [4], also in order to compute the number of classes of principal polarizations of $E_1 \times E_2$ as above, but with a different approach. It is a consequence of this result that the number $\#P(X)$ is unbounded for $d \to \infty$.

The main idea for the proof of Theorem 3.5 is as follows: The canonical principal polarization of X induces a bijection between $P(X)$ and the set of equivalence classes of symmetric automorphisms of X. Via the analytic representation of X and a suitable choice of bases this set can be considered as a set of equivalence classes of Hermitian forms.

2. Generalities

Let X denote a complex abelian variety of dimension n. In order to compute the number $\#P(X)$ we may assume that X admits at least one principal polarization, say L_0, which is fixed in the sequel. This polarization induces an anti-involution on the ring $\mathrm{End}(X)$ of endomorphisms of X, the Rosati involution defined by

$$\alpha \mapsto \alpha' = \varphi_{L_0}^{-1}\hat{\alpha}\varphi_{L_0},$$

for every $\alpha \in \mathrm{End}(X)$, where $\hat{\alpha} : \hat{X} \to \hat{X}$ denotes the dual endomorphism. Denote by

$$\mathrm{End}^s(X) = \{\alpha \in \mathrm{End}(X) \mid \alpha' = \alpha\}$$

the abelian subgroup of symmetric endomorphisms. Similarly $\mathrm{Aut}^s(X)$ is defined. Finally, set

$$\mathrm{Aut}^s(X)^+ = \{\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}^s(X) \mid \alpha \text{ totally positive}\},$$

where totally positive means that all roots of the minimal polynomial are positive. The group $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ acts on the set $\mathrm{Aut}^s(X)^+$ by

$$(\varphi, \alpha) \mapsto \varphi'\alpha\varphi$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ and $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}^s(X)^+$. For the proof of the following proposition we refer to [1], Proposition 5.2.1 and Theorem 5.2.4.

Proposition 2.1. *With the notation above we have*

(1) *The map*

$$\epsilon : NS(X) \to End^s(X), \quad L \mapsto \varphi_{L_0}^{-1}\varphi_L$$

is an isomorphism of groups.

(2) *The map ϵ induces a bijection*

$$P(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} Aut^s(X)^+/_\sim ,$$

where $Aut^s(X)^+/_\sim$ denotes the set of equivalence classes with respect to the above action.

A polarization L on X is called *reducible* if $L = L_1 \otimes L_2$ with ample line bundles L_1 and L_2, or equivalently, if there are abelian subvarieties X_1 and X_2 of X such that there is an isomorphism of polarized abelian varieties

$$(X, L) \simeq (X_1, L_1) \times (X_2, L_2),$$

where here L_i denotes the restriction of L to X_i for $i = 1, 2$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (X_i, L_i) be principally polarized abelian varieties for $i = 1, 2$. If $Hom(X_1, X_2) = 0$, then every principal polarization of $X_1 \times X_2$ is reducible.*

Proof. The assumption implies $\mathrm{End}(X) = \mathrm{End}(X_1) \oplus \mathrm{End}(X_2)$. The principal polarization $p_1^*L_1 \otimes p_2^*L_2$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{NS}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{End}^s(X) = \mathrm{End}^s(X_1) \oplus \mathrm{End}^s(X_2)$. Together with Proposition 2.1 (2) this gives the assertion. □

Now let E_i be complex elliptic curves and consider the abelian variety

$$X = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n.$$

It admits the canonical principal polarization

$$L_0 = p_1^*\mathcal{O}_{E_1}(0) \otimes \cdots \otimes p_n^*\mathcal{O}_{E_n}(0),$$

where $p_i : X \to E_i$ denotes the i-th projection. Lemma 2.2 implies that, in order to determine all principal polarizations of X, we may assume that the elliptic curves E_i are pairwise isogenous.

For the computation of $\#P(X)$ we introduce suitable period matrices of X. For $i = 1, \ldots, n$ there is an element z_i in the upper half plane $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$E_i = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_i \quad \text{with} \quad \Lambda_i = \mathbb{Z} + z_i\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then the following matrix is a period matrix for the abelian variety X with respect to the canonical basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and a suitable basis $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{2n}$ of the lattice Λ:

$$\Pi = (I_n \; Z),$$

where I_n denotes the unit matrix of degree n and

$$Z = \mathrm{diag}(z_1, \ldots, z_n).$$

satisfies

$$Z^t = Z \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Im}\, Z > 0. \tag{1}$$

The first Chern class of the polarization L_0 can be considered as an alternating form E_{L_0} on the lattice Λ. With respect to the basis $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{2n}$ of Λ the alternating form E_{L_0} is given by the following matrix, also denoted by E_{L_0},

$$E_{L_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}(X)$ the analytic and rational representations

$$A_\varphi := \rho_a(\varphi) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad R_\varphi := \rho_r(\varphi) \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$$

satisfy the following equation

$$A_\varphi \Pi = \Pi R_\varphi. \tag{2}$$

Conversely, any pair of matrices $(A, R) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \times M_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying (2) defines an endomorphism of X. The following lemma computes the analytic representation of the Rosati involution of φ in terms of the chosen bases.

Lemma 2.3. $\quad A_{\varphi'} = Im\, Z \cdot \overline{A_\varphi}^t \cdot (Im\, Z)^{-1}.$

Proof. It is well-known (see [1], Proposition 5.1.1) that the rational representation $R_{\varphi'}$ of φ' is the adjoint matrix of R_φ with respect to the alternating form E_{L_0}, which implies

$$R_{\varphi'} = E_{L_0}^{-1} R_\varphi^t E_{L_0} \tag{3}$$

The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \Pi \\ \overline{\Pi} \end{pmatrix}$ is invertible, since Π is a period matrix. Hence (2) applied to φ and φ' implies

$$R_\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi \\ \overline{\Pi} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} A_\varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{A_\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Pi \\ \overline{\Pi} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{\varphi'} & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{A_{\varphi'}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi \\ \overline{\Pi} \end{pmatrix} R_{\varphi'} \begin{pmatrix} \Pi \\ \overline{\Pi} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \tag{5}$$

Inserting (3) and the transpose of (4) into (5), we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{\varphi'} & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{A_{\varphi'}} \end{pmatrix} = S \begin{pmatrix} A_\varphi^t & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{A_\varphi^t} \end{pmatrix} S^{-1}$$

with

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi \\ \overline{\Pi} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Pi^t & \overline{\Pi^t} \end{pmatrix} = 2i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \operatorname{Im} Z \\ -\operatorname{Im} Z & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the last equation we used (1). This implies the assertion. □

3. E_i WITHOUT COMPLEX MULTIPLICATION

Let the notation be as at the end of the last section. In particular $E_i = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda,\ i = 1, \ldots, n$ are pairwise isogenous complex elliptic curves. In this section we assume in addition that the E_i are without complex multiplication which means that $\operatorname{End}(E) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\operatorname{Hom}(E_i, E_j) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$$

for all $i, j = 1, \cdots, n$. Denote by $\tau_{ij} : E_i \to E_j$ an isogeny of minimal positive degree, say d_{ij}. We identify τ_{ij} with its analytic representation, i.e., we consider it as a complex number.

Lemma 3.1. *The complex conjugate $\overline{\tau_{ij}}$ represents a homomorphism $E_j \to E_i$, denoted by the same symbol, such that*

$$\overline{\tau_{ij}}\tau_{ij} = \deg(\tau_{ij}) 1_{E_i} \quad \textit{and} \quad \tau_{ij}\overline{\tau_{ij}} = \deg(\tau_{ij}) 1_{E_j}.$$

$\overline{\tau_{ij}}$ is a homomorphism of minimal positive degree.

Proof. There exists a homomorphism $\widetilde{\tau}_{ji} : E_j \to E_i$ such that $\widetilde{\tau_{ji}}\tau_{ij} = \deg(\tau_{ij}) 1_{E_i}$ and $\tau_{ij}\widetilde{\tau_{ji}} = \deg(\tau_{ij}) 1_{E_j}$. In particular

$$\widetilde{\tau_{ji}}\tau_{ij} = \deg(\tau_{ij}).$$

On the other hand, since the rational representation is the direct sum of the analytic representation and its complex conjugate,

$$\overline{\tau_{ij}}\tau_{ij} = \det(R_{\tau_{ij}}) = \deg(\tau_{ij}).$$

This implies that the analytic representation of the homomorphism $\widetilde{\tau_{ij}}$ is given by the complex number $\overline{\tau_{ij}}$ and thus completes the proof of the lemma. □

Any homorphism $\varphi_{ij} : E_i \to E_j$ can be written as

$$\varphi_{ij} = d_{ij}\tau_{ij}$$

with a uniquely determined integer d_{ij}. This remark together with Lemma 3.1 imply the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *The analytic representation induces an isomorphism*

$$End(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{M}_n(X), \quad \varphi \mapsto A_\varphi$$

where $\mathcal{M}_n(X)$ denotes the ring of all matrices of the form

$$A_\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12}\overline{\tau_{12}} & \cdots & d_{1n}\overline{\tau_{1n}} \\ d_{21}\tau_{12} & d_{22} & \cdots & d_{2n}\overline{\tau_{2n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{n1}\tau_{1n} & d_{n2}\tau_{2n} & \cdots & d_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

with τ_{ij} as above and $d_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all i, j.

Lemma 3.3. *For any $\varphi \in End(X)$ we have, with respect to the chosen bases,*

$$\det A_\varphi \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. The matrix is of the form $A_\varphi = (\varphi_{ij})$ with homomorphisms $\varphi_{ij} : E_i \to E_j$, which are identified with their analytic representations. By definition of the determinant,

$$\det A_\varphi = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} sign(\sigma)\varphi_{1\sigma(1)}\varphi_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots \varphi_{n\sigma(n)}.$$

Now any $\sigma \in S_n$ is a product of cycles $\sigma = \sigma_r \cdots \sigma_1$. But any cycle σ_ν of length s_ν say, is of the form

$$\sigma_\nu = (k, \sigma(k), \sigma^2(k), \cdots, \sigma^{s_\nu - 1}(k)).$$

Hence the corresponding homomorphism $\varphi_{k\sigma(k)}\varphi_{\sigma(k)\sigma^2(k)} \cdots \varphi_{\sigma^{s_\nu-1}(k),k}$ is an endomorphism of E_k. So by assumption any cycle is an element of $\mathrm{End}(E_i) = \mathbb{Z}$ for some i. This implies that $\det A_\varphi$ is an integer as a sum of products of integers. □

As in the last section, $\Pi = (I_n, Z)$ with $Z = \mathrm{diag}(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ is a period matrix for $X = E_1 \times \cdots \times E_n$. If $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ denotes the

canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$, we introduce a new basis $\{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ by setting

$$f_i = \sqrt{\mathrm{Im} z_i} e_i$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Since $\mathrm{Im}(z_i) > 0$ for all i,

$$T = \mathrm{diag}(\sqrt{\mathrm{Im}(z_1)}, \ldots, \sqrt{\mathrm{Im}(z_n)})$$

is a well-defined matrix of $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$. The period matrix of X with respect to the new basis $\{f_i\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and the old basis $\{\lambda_j\}$ of Λ is

$$\widetilde{\Pi} = T^{-1}\Pi.$$

Using this it is an easy consequence of Lemma 2.3 that the analytic representation $A_{\varphi'}$ of the Rosati transform φ' of φ with respect to these bases is given by

$$A_{\varphi'} = \overline{A_\varphi}^t.$$

Thus we can conclude

Lemma 3.4. *For $\varphi \in End(X)$ the following conditions are equivalent*

(1) *φ is symmetric with respect to the Rosati involution,*
(2) *The analytic representation A_φ with respect to the bases $\{f_i\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\{\lambda_j\}$ of Λ is a Hermitian matrix.*

Note that Lemma 3.4 does not assume that the elliptic curves are without complex multiplication. For the proof of the lemma a normalization, different from the one used above, turns out to be more convenient (see Remark 3.6).

Consider now the set

$$\mathcal{M}_n^s(X)^+ = \{A \in \mathcal{M}_n(X) \mid \overline{A}^t = A,\ A > 0,\ \det A = 1\}.$$

Two matrices $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{M}_n^s(X)^+$ are called *equivalent*, if there is an invertible matrix $T \in \mathcal{M}_n(X)$ such that

$$A_2 = \overline{T}^t A_1 T.$$

Note that this equivalence is just the matrix version of the usual equivalence of Hermitian forms. Recall that $P(X)$ denotes the set of equivalence classes of principal polarizations of X.

Theorem 3.5. *There is a bijection*

$$P(X) \to \mathcal{M}_n^s(X)^+/_\sim,$$

where $\mathcal{M}_n^s(X)^+/_\sim$ denotes the set of equivalence classes of Hermitian matrices in $\mathcal{M}_n^s(X)^+$.

Proof. We claim first that the analytic representation with respect to the basis $\{f_i\}$ induces a bijection $Aut^s(X)^+ \to \mathcal{M}_n^s(X)^+$.

For the proof note that by Lemma 3.4 an endomorphism α of X is symmetric if and only if A_α is Hermitian. It is totally positive if

and only if all zeros of the minimal polynomial are positive, i.e., A_α is positive definite. On the other hand, α is an automorphism if and only if $\deg(\alpha) = 1$. But $\deg(\alpha)$ equals the determinant of the rational representation R_α. So (4) implies

$$\det A_\alpha \cdot \overline{\det A_\alpha} = 1.$$

By Lemma 3.3, $\det A_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, which implies $\det A_\alpha = \pm 1$ and thus $= 1$, if A_α is positive definite. This implies the assertion.

It is clear that the bijection $Aut^s(X)^+ \to \mathcal{M}_n^s(X)^+$ is compatible with the equivalence relations. Hence Proposition 2.1 completes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark 3.6. One can use the same method in order to prove an analogous result for a product of pairwise isogenous elliptic curves with complex multiplication. This has been done in the thesis of P. Schuster, written under the supervision of the author (see [7]). Again the idea is to use suitable bases in order to interpret the set $P(X)$ in terms of classes of Hermitian forms. In the case of dimension 2 and of self-products of dimension 3 Schuster applies class number formulas of Hashimoto-Koseki in order to compute the number $\#P(X)$.

4. Self-products of an elliptic curve E

Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{C}$ without complex multiplication and consider the abelian variety

$$X = E \times \cdots \times E$$

of dimension n. In this case we may choose $\tau_{ij} = 1_E$ for all i, j. Then the following theorem is a special case of Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 4.1. *There is a bijection of $P(X)$ with the set of equivalence classes of positive definite unimodular integral quadratic forms.*

Corollary 4.2. *For $n \leq 7$, there is no principal polarization on X apart from L_0.*

Proof. For $n \leq 7$, there is only one positive definite unimodular integral quadratic form (see [6]). □

There is an extensive literature about the number $h(n)$ of classes of positive definite unimodular integral quadratic forms of rank n (see [2] and the literature quoted there). According to Theorem 4.1 this number can be interpreted as the number $\#P(X)$ of equivalence classes of principal polarizations of X. In particular $h(n)$ has been computed for $n \leq 25$ by Kneser, Niemeier, Conway-Sloane and Borchards (see [2], table 2.2). Together with Theorem 4.1 this gives

Corollary 4.3.

n	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$\#P(X)$	2	2	2	2	3	3	4	5	8
n	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
$\#P(X)$	9	13	16	28	40	68	117	297	665

The mass formula of Siegel-Minkowski gives an estimate for the number $h(n)$ (see [2] or [6]). As a consequence one gets for example that $h(32) \geq 80.000.000$ and moreover that $h(n)$ tends to infinity if $n \to \infty$.

Remark 4.4. The theory of unimodular definite integral quadratic forms distinguishes between even and odd ones (or of type I and type II). It would be interesting to see whether this distinction has a geometric meaning for the corresponding principal polarizations.

5. Abelian surfaces $E_1 \times E_2$

In this section consider

$$X = E_1 \times E_2$$

with isogenous elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 without complex multiplication and assume that

$$\tau : E_1 \to E_2$$

is an isogeny of minimal positive degree $d \geq 2$. According to Theorem 3.5 there is a bijection between $P(X)$ and the set of classes of Hermitian forms $\mathcal{M}_2^s(X)^+/_\sim$, where

$$\mathcal{M}_2^s(X)^+ = \{A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12}\overline{\tau} \\ a_{12}\tau & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \mid a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z},\ a_{11} > 0,\ \det A = 1\}.$$

In order to compute the corresponding class number, recall from Lemma 3.1 that the complex conjugate $\overline{\tau}$ represents an isogeny $E_2 \to E_1$ of minimal positive degree d, and consider the map

$$\Phi : End(E_1 \times E_2) \to End(E_1 \times E_1), \quad \varphi \mapsto (1_{E_1} \times \overline{\tau})\varphi(1_{E_1} \times \tau)$$

In terms of the analytic representation with respect to the basis $\{f_j\}$ of section 3, the map Φ is given by

$$A_\varphi \mapsto \Phi(A_\varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\tau} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12}\overline{\tau} \\ a_{12}\tau & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12}d \\ a_{12}d & a_{22}d \end{pmatrix}.$$

So $\Phi(A_\varphi)$ is an integral quadratic form of determinant d. Recall that a integral (2×2)-matrix (m_{ij}) is called *primitive*, if $\gcd(m_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, 2) = 1$. Consider the set of integral quadratic forms given as matrices by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2^s(X)^+ = \{B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{12} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \mid B \text{ primitive},\ b_{11} > 0,\ \det B = d\}.$$

As usual, two integral quadratic forms B_1 and B_2 are called *equivalent* if there is a $T \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $B_2 = T^t B_1 T$. Clearly this defines an

equivalence relation on the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2^s(X)^+$. It is well-known that there are only finitely many equivalence classes of such forms (in fact, this is also a consequence of the following theorem). Let $\tilde{h}(d)$ denote the corresponding class number:

$$\tilde{h}(d) = \#(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2^s(X)^+/\sim).$$

Theorem 5.1. *Let $X = E_1 \times E_2$ with elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 admiiting an isogeny of minimal positive degree d. Then*

$$\#P(X) = \tilde{h}(d).$$

Proof. According to Theorem 3.5 it is sufficient to show that the map $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_2^s(X)^+ \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2^s(X)^+$ is compatible with the equivalence relations and induces a bijection of the equivalence classes.

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12}\overline{\tau} \\ a_{12}\tau & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_2^s(X)^+$. Then $\Phi(A) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12}d \\ a_{12}d & a_{22}d \end{pmatrix}$ is a primitive matrix, since

$$\gcd(a_{11}, a_{12}d, a_{22}d) = \gcd(a_{11}, \gcd(a_{11}, a_{22})d) = \gcd(a_{11}, d) = 1,$$

where we used the fact that $\det(A) = 1$.

Next we claim that for $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{M}_2^s(X)^+$,

$$A_1 \sim A_2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Phi(A_1) \sim \Phi(A_2).$$

Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} & t_{12}\overline{\tau} \\ t_{21}\tau & t_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ be an invertible matrix of the ring $\mathcal{M}_2(X)$ with $A_2 = T^t A_1 T$. Then $\Phi(A_2) = \widetilde{T}^t \Phi(A_1) \widetilde{T}$ with $\widetilde{T} = \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} & t_{12}d \\ t_{21} & t_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. This implies $\Phi(A_1) \sim \Phi(A_2)$, since $\det \widetilde{T} = \det T$.

Conversely, given $B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12}d \\ b_{12}d & b_{22}d \end{pmatrix} = \Phi(A_1)$ and $B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12}d \\ c_{12}d & c_{22}d \end{pmatrix}$ $= \Phi(A_2)$ with $B_2 = T^t B_1 T$, $T = \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} & t_{12} \\ t_{21} & t_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. This means in particular

$$c_{11} = t_{11}^2 b_{11} + d(2t_{11}t_{21}b_{12} + t_{21}^2 b_{22})$$

$$c_{12}d = t_{11}t_{12}b_{11} + d(t_{12}t_{21}b_{12} + t_{11}t_{22}b_{12} + t_{21}t_{22}b_{22})$$

Since B_1 and B_2 are primitive, this implies

$$t_{12} \equiv 0 \mod d,$$

from which we get $A_1 \sim A_2$ by reading the above computation upside down.

Hence Φ induces a map $\overline{\Phi} : \mathcal{M}_2^s(X)^+/_\sim \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2^s(X)^+/_\sim$. It remains to show that $\overline{\Phi}$ is bijective.

Clearly $\overline{\Phi}$ is injective. To see that it is surjective, let $B = (b_{ij}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2^s(X)^+$. According to an elementary result for binary quadratic forms (see e.g., [5], p. 132) there are integers x_0, y_0, prime to each other, such that $\gcd(b_{11}x_0^2 + 2b_{12}x_0y_0 + b_{22}y_0^2, d) = 1$. Choose $x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$x_0y_1 - y_0x_1 = 1$. Using this we see that, replacing B by U^tBU with $U = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 \\ y_0 & y_1 \end{pmatrix}$, we may assume $gcd(b_{11}, d) = 1$. So $b_{11}r + ds = 1$ with integers r, s. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b_{12}r \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$B \sim T^tBT = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12}sd \\ b_{12}sd & b_{11}b_{12}^2r^2 - 2b_{12}^2r + b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Im}(\Phi),$$

since d divides b_{12} and b_{22}. This means that $\overline{\Phi}$ is surjective. □

The class number $\tilde{h}(d)$ has been computed by T. Hayashida in [4], actually for the same purpose as here, namely the determination of the number of classes of principal polarization on $E_1 \times E_2$ as above. However the way to associate a quadratic form of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_2^s(X)^+$ to a principal polarization and thus the proof of Theorem 5.1 was completely different. I will not repeat the actual class numbers here, but refer to [4] for details. Note only that the formulas imply that the number $\#P(X)$ is unbounded as $d \to \infty$.

References

[1] Ch. Birkenhake, H. Lange: Complex abelian varieties, Second Augmented Edition. Grundlehren 302, Springer (2004).

[2] J. H. Conway, N. J. A. Sloane: Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups. Grundlehren 290, Springer (1988).

[3] M. S. Narasimhan, M. Nori: *Polarizations on an abelian variety.* Proc. Indian Ac. Sc., Math. Sci. 90, 125-128 (1981).

[4] T. Hayashida: *A class number associated with a product of elliptic curves.* Natur. Science Report, Ochanomizu Univ., 16, 9-19 (1968).

[5] G. B. Mathews: *Theory of numbers.* Cambridge, Deighton Bell (1892).

[6] J.-P. Serre: Cours d'Arithmétique. Presses Univ. de France (1970).

[7] P. Schuster: *Produkte elliptischer Kurven der Dimension 2 und 3.* Dissertation, Erlangen (1990).

H. Lange, Mathematisches Institut, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Bismarckstrasse $1\frac{1}{2}$, D-91054 Erlangen, Germany

E-mail address: lange@mi.uni-erlangen.de